## COMPETITION TRIBUNAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

#### Case No: 47/CR/May06

#### In the matter between:

**Competition Commission** 

And

Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Applicant

Respondent

Order

Further to the application of the Competition Commission in terms of Section 49D, in the above matter -

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondents.

D Lewis

12 July 2006 Date

Concurring: N Manoim, U Bhoola

# IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA HELD AT PRETORIA

CT Case No: CC Case No: 2002Jul145

In the matter between:

The Competition Commission

and

**Deutsche Lufthansa AG** 

Applicant

•

Respondent

## AGREEMENT ON THE TERMS OF AN APPROPRIATE ORDER IN TERMS OF SECTION 49D OF THE COMPETITION ACT, ACT NO. 89 OF 1998, AS AMENDED.

#### 1. **DEFINITIONS**

For the purposes of this agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1 *"Act"* means the Competition Act, Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended.
- 1.2 *"this Agreement"* means the agreement set out herein, duly signed by the *Commissioner* and the *Respondent*.
- 1.3 "Commission" and "Applicant" means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act with principal place of business at the DTI Campus, Block C, Mulayo Building, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng.

1

- 1.4 *"Commissioner"* means the Competition Commissioner of South Africa, the Chief Executive Officer of the *Commission* appointed by the Minister of Trade and Industry in terms of section 22 of the *Act*.
- 1.5 *"Competition Tribunal"* means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the *Act* with principal place of business at the DTI Campus, Block C, Mulayo Building, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng
- 1.6 "Complaint" means the complaint initiated by the Commissioner on 18 July 2002 in terms of section 49B(1) of the Act and filed with the Commission under case number 2002Jul145.
- 1.7 *"Respondent"* and *"Lufthansa"* means Deutsche Lufthansa AG, a company duly incorporated and registered in accordance with the company laws of Germany, with its main place of business at Von-Gablenz-Strasse 2-6, D-50679 Cologne, Federal Republic of Germany.
- 1.8 "SAA" means South African Airways (Pty) Ltd, a private company duly incorporated and registered in accordance with the company laws of the Republic of South Africa with principal place of business at Airways Park, Jones Road, Kempton Park.

## 2. APPLICATION TO THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL

The Applicant and the Respondent in the above matter hereby agree that application be made by the Applicant to the Competition Tribunal to have this Agreement confirmed as a consent order as provided for in section 58(1)(b) of the Act.

#### 3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 SAA applied to the Commission under section 10 of the Act for an exemption of a bilateral agreement entered into between SAA and Quantas Airlines.
- 3.2 Pursuant to the consideration of the aforementioned exemption application, the *Commission* discovered that *SAA* had entered into a number of other bilateral agreements with airlines competing on international routes prior to the enactment of the Act, and that *SAA* did not apply for the exemption of these agreements after the Restrictive Practices provisions contained in Part A of Chapter 2 of the *Act* came into force on 1 September 1999.
- 3.3 The bilateral agreements concerned included Code Sharing Agreements, Joint Operation Agreements, Special Prorate Agreements and Sales Incentive Agreements between SAA and El Al, Cathay Pacific, Thai Airways, Varig Brazilian Airlines Limited, Lufthansa and Emirates Airlines.
- 3.4 As the *Commissioner* considered that the agreements and the conduct between *SAA* and six other international airlines relating to specific country-to-country routes might entail the fixing of prices and/or trading conditions in contravention of section 4(1)(b) of the *Act*, he initiated a complaint in terms of section 49B of the *Act* on 18 July 2002.
- 3.5 This Agreement relates to the Commission's investigation into agreements and conduct between SAA and Lufthansa, which regulated their relationship in respect of flights which they both operated between Cape Town/ Johannesburg and Frankfurt.

- 3.6 The *Commission* summonsed *SAA* and *Lufthansa* to provide it with relevant documentation and for certain SAA employees to appear in person. The *Commission* did not request *Lufthansa* employees to appear in person before it.
- 3.7 SAA and Lufthansa subsequently provided the *Commission* with all information/documentation sought and various SAA employees were interrogated under oath by members of the *Commission's* investigation team.

#### 4. COMMISSION'S FINDINGS

After completing its investigation, the Commission concluded that:

- 4.1 The product market is defined as that of a commercial airline passenger service between two geographic points. In this instance, SAA and Lufthansa were and are competitors on the route between Cape Town/Johannesburg and Frankfurt.
- 4.2 Documentary and other evidence indicated that meetings and communications between employees of SAA and Lufthansa had occurred, in regard to price changes and the harmonization of fares. Although not a direct consequence of the various bilateral agreements between SAA and Lufthansa, such meetings and communications evidenced co-operative conduct, amounting to an agreement or concerted practice between the two airlines.
- 4.3 SAA and Lufthansa had contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act in that they had directly and/or indirectly fixed the selling price of air tickets on their flights between Cape Town/Johannesburg and Frankfurt.
- 4.4. Lufthansa and SAA stopped the above conduct in 2002.

## 5. AGREEMENT CONCERNING CONDUCT OF THE RESPONDENT

The Commission and Lufthansa agree that Lufthansa shall:

- 5.1 Not engage in the fixing of any selling prices or trading conditions in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the *Act, with SAA* or any other firm with which it is in a horizontal relationship, either through direct agreement with or through indirect arrangements, communications or understandings.
- 5.2 It is recorded that *Lufthansa* initiated a compliance program in 2005, which, if adhered to, would ensure that its employees and directors are informed of and comply with their obligations under competition law and the provisions of the *Act*. A copy of the compliance program has been provided to the Commission.

### 6. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY

- 6.1 In terms of section 58(1)(a)(iii) of the Act read with section 59(1)(a), 59(2) and (3) of the Act, the Respondent has agreed to pay an administrative penalty in the amount of R 8 500 000,00 (EIGHT MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND RAND) in full and final resolution of all proceedings between the Commission and the Respondent, in relation to any alleged contraventions of the Act under case number 2002Jul145.
- 6.2 The *Respondent* acknowledges that the penalty amount does not exceed 10% of its annual turnover in and its exports from the Republic during the preceding financial year.

6.3 The penalty amount is to be paid into the bank account of the *Commission* within thirty (30) business days after the confirmation of this agreement as a Consent Order by the Tribunal. The *Commission's* banking details are as follows:

Bank:	ABSA Bank
Name of Account:	The Competition Commission Fees
Branch Name:	Pretoria
Branch Code:	323345
Account Number:	4050778576

6.4 The *Commission* will pay over the penalty amount to the National Revenue Fund referred to in Section 59(4) of the *Act*.

#### 7. FULL AND FINAL RESOLUTION

*This agreement*, upon confirmation thereof as a consent order by the *Competition Tribunal*, concludes all proceedings between the *Commission* and the *Respondent*, in relation to any alleged contraventions of the *Act* under case number 2002Jul145.

### 8. EFFECT OF THIS AGREEMENT

The *Respondent* records that nothing in *this agreement* amounts to, or should be taken to imply an admission of liability or wrongdoing on its part.

#### 9. VARIATION

In as much as, prior to the confirmation of this Agreement as a consent order by the Competition Tribunal, it is capable of variation or cancellation by mutual consent of the parties, no such variation or cancellation shall be effective unless reduced to writing and signed by or on behalf of the parties.

## FOR THE RESPONDENT:

Dated and signed at <u>work</u> on this	the <u>3</u> day of May 2006.	
Signature: Name: Nicolai von Ruckteschell Designation:	Ppc. M.M. Dr. Holger Hätty	
Senior Vice President & General Counsel	Member of the Board Lufthansa Passenger	Airline

Duly authorized representative of Deutsche Lufthansa AG

# FOR THE COMMISSION:

Dated and signed at Pretoria on this the  $\frac{31}{2}$  day of May 2006.

An

Shan Ramburuth Acting Commissioner Competition Commission of South Africa.