

# **COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Case No: LM182Sep18

In the matter between:

BOE Private Equity (Pty) Ltd Heritage Capital Fund I

Acquiring Firms

and

General Profiling (Pty) Ltd

Target Firm

Panel

: Enver Daniels (Presiding Member)

: Mondo Mazwai (Tribunal Member)

: Fiona Tregenna (Tribunal Member)

Order issued on

: 24 October 2018

Reasons issued on

: 21 November 2018

#### **REASONS FOR DECISION**

# **Approval**

- [1] On 24 October 2018, the Competition Tribunal ("Tribunal") unconditionally approved the large merger involving the acquiring firms, BoE Private Equity (Pty) Ltd ("BoE") and Heritage Capital Fund I ("Heritage Capital") and the target firm General Profiling (Pty) Ltd ("General Profiling").
- [2] The reasons for approving the proposed transaction follow.

#### Parties to the transaction and their activities

# Primary acquiring firm

- [3] The primary acquiring firms are BoE and Heritage Capital. BoE is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nedbank Ltd and is in turn controlled by Old Mutual plc. Heritage Capital is controlled by Heritage Capital GP.
- [4] BoE is an investment holding company in respect of a variety of businesses ranging from asset management to short-term insurance. Heritage Capital is a 100 percent black female owned investment group which has a single investment in Aria Technologies Africa (Pty) Ltd.

# Primary target firm

- [5] The primary target firm is General Profiling (Pty) Ltd, a South African incorporated company which is controlled by Mr. Gavin Poplak.
- [6] General Profiling is involved in the steel industry and provides stainless steel and aluminum services ranging from flame cutting to rolling.

# Proposed transaction and rationale

- [7] In terms of the proposed transaction BoE and Heritage Capital would acquire 30 percent of the issued share capital in General Profiling and will exercise joint control post-transaction.
- [8] BoE has submitted that its rationale for the transaction is that the acquisition is in line with its strategic objectives. Heritage Capital submitted that the proposed transaction would allow it to grow its portfolio. General Profiling submitted that the proposed transaction would allow retiring shareholders to realize the value of their shares.

# Relevant market and impact on competition

[9] The Commission identified no overlaps in the activities of the parties as the acquiring firms do not provide any services provided by General Profiling. Accordingly, the Commission submitted that the proposed transaction would not result in a substantial lessening of competition.

[10] We concur with the Commission's finding that the proposed transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition as there is no overlaps.

#### **Public interest**

[11] The merging parties submitted, which was confirmed by the Commission, that the proposed transaction will not have any negative effect on employment.<sup>1</sup>

[12] The proposed transaction further raises no other public interest concerns.

#### Conclusion

[13] In light of the above, we conclude that the proposed transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition in any relevant market. In addition, no public interest issues arise from the proposed transaction. Accordingly, we approve the proposed transaction unconditionally.

Mr Enver Daniels

21 November 2018

Date

Ms Mondo Mazwai and Prof Fiona Tregenna concurring

Tribunal Case Manager For the merging parties For the Commission

: Ms Aneesa Ravat

: Mr Albert Aukema of CDH

: Ms Rethabile Ncheche

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Record pages 78.