



competitiontribunal
south africa

fax form

<i>to</i>	Paul Coetser Werksmans Inc	<i>fax</i>	011 535 8790
	Legal Services Competition Commission		44283
<i>ref</i>	49/LM/Jul11	<i>date</i>	01 September 2011
<i>from</i>	Tebogo Mputle	<i>pages</i>	5 (including this page)
<i>re</i>	Reasons		

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

Attached please find the Tribunal's reasons for the decision in the above matter.

Regards

Tebogo Mputle

CC: Bheki Masilela - 44582



COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No:49/LM/JUN11

In the matter between:

ACQUARIUS PLATINUM SA (PTY) LTD

Acquiring Firm

And

BLUE RIDGE PLATINUM (PTY) LTD

Target Firm

Panel	:	Norman Manoim (Presiding Member) Yasmin Carrim (Tribunal Member) Andreas Wessels (Tribunal Member)
Heard on	:	24 August 2011
Order issued on	:	24 August 2011
Reasons issued on	:	01 September 2011

Reasons for Decision

Approval

[1] On 24 August 2011, the Competition Tribunal ("Tribunal") approved the large merger between Aquarius Platinum SA (Pty) Ltd and Blue Ridge Platinum (Pty) Ltd. We explain below our reasons for this conclusion.

The Parties to the transaction

[2] The primary acquiring firm is Aquarius Platinum SA (Pty) Ltd ("AQPSA"), a private company incorporated in terms of the laws of the Republic of South Africa. AQPSA is controlled by Aquarius Platinum Ltd ("AQP"), a company

incorporated in terms of the laws of Bermuda. AQPSA controls a number of subsidiaries around South Africa; however AQP is not controlled by any entity. For the sake of convenience, AQP and all its companies controlled by it will be referred to as the "AQP Group".

[3] The primary target firm is Blue Ridge Platinum (Pty) Ltd ("Blue Ridge"), a South African company jointly controlled by Ridge Mining (Pty) Ltd and Imbani Platinum SPV (Pty) Ltd ("Imbani").

[4] In terms of the transaction, AQPSA proposes to acquire a further 7%¹ shareholding in Blue Ridge from Imbani, which will increase AQP group's shareholding in Blue Ridge to 57%, thereby resulting in AQP crossing the 'bright line'. The transaction does not result in any substantial change of control as, due to certain minority protections, although AQP will be deemed to have sole control by virtue of owning more than half of the company's share capital, it will de facto still retain joint control with Imbani.

The Rationale

[5] According to the merging parties, the transaction is as a result of Imbani's failure to provide certain funding required in terms of the shareholders agreement which then resulted in Imbani being diluted thereby automatically leading to the crossing of the bright line by AQP.

The parties' activities

[6] The AQP Group is involved in PGM mineral exploration, mine development and concentrate production. The group mines and produces PGM concentrate in various provinces around South Africa² as well as in Zimbabwe.

[7] Blue Ridge is involved in mining and exploration of mineral resources and it currently is involved in mining operations at Blue Ridge mine. The concentrate produced from this mine is sold to IRS.

¹ According to the Commission, this is not the exact percentage as the final percentage will only be known on the date of dilution but will not be more than 10%. See Commission report p.6

² For a list of areas where AGP Group mines, see Commission Report p.7

The relevant market and the impact on competition

[8] The Commission found that the relevant product market is that for PGMs, minerals which are primarily used in the automotive industry to produce autocatalysts and are also used in the production of jewellery. PGM is made up of six elements, being platinum, palladium, rhodium, ruthenium, iridium and osmium. With reference to previous Tribunal decisions relating to the PGM market³, both the Commission and the merging parties submitted that as the Tribunal has previously recognised the relevant geographic market for PGMs as international, it is unnecessary to deviate from that decision.

[9] The Commission found that there is an overlap at the concentrate level of the supply chain in respect to PGMs, namely platinum, palladium, rhodium, ruthenium and iridium. However, with a post merger market share of not more than 9% in each of the relevant PGM markets, the Commission found that the market share was too low to raise any competition concerns. The Commission also found that there are a number of significant competitors in the PGM markets, which include companies such as Impala Platinum, MMC Norilsk Nickel, Anglo-American plc, Northam Lonmin plc, and Xstrata.

[10] The Commission concluded that the merger is unlikely to result in any substantial prevention or lessening of competition in the PGM market.

CONCLUSION

[11] The parties submitted that the proposed transaction will not result in employment losses. The proposed transaction does not raise any other public interest issues.

[12] We agree with the Commission's conclusion above and find that the merger is unlikely to lead to any substantial prevention or lessening of competition in the relevant market. Accordingly, we approve the above merger unconditionally.

³ Two Rivers Platinum Limited and Assmang Limited, case no: 54/LM/Sep01


NORMAN MANOIM

01 September 2011
DATE

Andreas Wessels and Yasmin Carrim

Tribunal Researcher: Tebogo Hlafane

For the merging parties: Werksmans Attorneys

For the Commission: Bheki Masilela

Date/Time: 1. Sep. 2011 12:46

File No.	Mode	Destination	Pg(s)	Result	Page Not Sent
7574	Memory TX David	00115358790 44283 44582	P. 5	OK OK OK	

Reason for error
 E. 1) Hang up or line fail.
 E. 2) Busy
 E. 3) No answer
 E. 4) No facsimile connection
 E. 5) Exceeded max. E-mail size



competitiontribunal
south africa

fax form

to	Paul Coetser Werksmans Inc	fax	011 535 8790
	Legal Services Competition Commission		44283
ref	49/LM/Jul11	date	01 September 2011
from	Tebogo Mputle	pages	5 (including this page)
re	Reasons		

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

Attached please find the Tribunal's reasons for the decision in the above matter.

Regards

Tebogo Mputle

CC: Bheki Masilela - 44582